

BGG reciprocity for p -adic Arens-Michael envelopes of semisimple Lie algebras

by Tobias Schmidt

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Quasi-hereditary algebras and highest weight categories	3
3. Diagonalisable modules	4
4. A BGG type category	6
4.1. Fréchet-Stein algebras	6
4.2. Arens-Michael envelopes	7
4.3. Semisimple Lie algebras	8
4.4. A BGG type category	9
4.5. p -adic Verma modules	11
4.6. Relation to category $\mathcal{O}$	12
5. Block decomposition	13
5.1. p -adic Harish-Chandra homomorphism	13
5.2. Central characters	14
5.3. Projective generators	16
5.4. Standard filtrations and reciprocity	18
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 1980s Irving and Cline-Parshall-Scott introduced an axiomatic framework ([5],[14]) leading to reciprocity principles in certain categories that include all classical examples coming from representations of finite groups, reductive Lie algebras of positive characteristic or infinite-dimensional representations of complex semi-simple Lie algebras (Brauer reciprocity, Humphreys reciprocity and BGG reciprocity). The central notion is that of a highest weight category which specializes to the theory of quasi-hereditary algebras in case the category in question is the module category of a finite-dimensional algebra. The aim of the present note is to give another instance of reciprocity which matches this framework and which is related to locally analytic representations of p -adic Lie groups.

To be more precise let K be a locally compact p -adic field. Let G be a p -adic Lie group defined over K with a split semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and denote by $U(\mathfrak{g})$ its universal enveloping algebra. The Arens-Michael envelope $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ equals the completion of

$U(\mathfrak{g})$ with respect to all submultiplicative seminorms. Being an interesting p -adic power series envelope of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ in its own right it is also an important technical tool in the study of locally analytic G -representations ([23]). In this note we will extend the classical BGG reciprocity ([3]) for $U(\mathfrak{g})$ to certain highest weight categories for $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Although we use the classical theory as a guideline for our constructions the similarities are limited for several reasons. To mention only the most basic one, the algebra $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is non-noetherian and best viewed as a topological Fréchet-Stein algebra in the sense of Schneider-Teitelbaum ([22]). This makes it necessary to complement the standard weight theory of the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with elements from p -adic analysis and functional analysis. Before we explain the content of the individual parts of this note let us mention that our highest weight categories will, in fact, be module categories over certain well-behaved quasi-hereditary algebras. We therefore view our constructions as a first "infinitesimal" step in connecting the well-developed representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras with the rather less developed locally analytic representation theory of semisimple p -adic groups.

Let us briefly outline the content of this paper. We begin (section 2, 3) by recalling parts of the theory of quasi-hereditary algebras and a notion of semisimplicity for topological Fréchet modules. After reviewing standard properties of Fréchet-Stein algebras, Arens-Michael envelopes and semisimple Lie algebras we introduce in section 4 a BGG type category $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ of certain $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. It depends on a choice $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of a splitting Cartan subalgebra and a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ containing it. A coadmissible (left) $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module lies in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ if it is topologically $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ -semisimple with finite dimensional weight spaces and occurring weights lying in a finite union of cosets $\lambda - \Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$. Here, Γ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -span of the positive roots. The category $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is abelian and extends the classical BGG category $\mathcal{O}$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ relative to $\mathfrak{b}$. In fact, base change along the canonical map $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ induces a faithful and exact functor $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ whose image can be described explicitly using Verma type modules for $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. To go further, we extend the classical block decomposition of $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to the central action to a product decomposition of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. For each central character χ the corresponding block $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ turns out to be equivalent to a category of finitely dimensional modules over a quasi-hereditary K -algebra A^χ . We conclude by showing that each algebra A^χ satisfies a strong version of BGG reciprocity which, as usual, sheds light on its Cartan matrix. The finer structure of the algebras A^χ (e.g. representation type, commutativity, duality etc.) remains unclear at present. We hope to come back to this in future work.

Acknowledgement. Part of this work was done during a stay of the author at the IHP Paris during the Galois trimester 2010. The author thanks this institution for its hospitality and generosity. The author also wishes to thank Matthias Strauch for giving three inspiring lectures at this event on the BGG category $\mathcal{O}$ and locally analytic representations.

2. QUASI-HEREDITARY ALGEBRAS AND HIGHEST WEIGHT CATEGORIES

We begin by recalling some basic facts on quasi-hereditary algebras and highest weight categories. The following formulation is adapted to our purposes. For more details we refer to [8] and [9].

Let K be a field, A a finite dimensional K -algebra, $\text{Mod}_{\text{fg}}(A)$ the category of finitely generated right A -modules and $K_0(A)$ the Grothendieck group of $\text{Mod}_{\text{fg}}(A)$. Let

$$(\Lambda, \leq)$$

be a fixed partially ordered finite set indexing a full set of representatives $(L_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ for the isomorphism classes of simple right A -modules. The multiplicity of L_λ in a Jordan-Hölder series of a module M will be denoted by $[M : L_\lambda]$. Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let P_λ and I_λ be a projective cover and injective hull of L_λ in $\text{Mod}_{\text{fg}}(A)$ respectively.

A collection of *standard modules* for A (relative to the partially ordered set Λ) is a set Δ of modules $\Delta_\lambda \in \text{Mod}_{\text{fg}}(A)$ with the properties $[\Delta_\lambda : L_\lambda] = 1$ with $\text{Top}(\Delta_\lambda) \simeq L_\lambda$ and $[\Delta_\lambda : L_\mu] = 0$ if $\mu \not\leq \lambda$. Given such a set Δ let $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ be the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\text{fg}}(A)$ consisting of modules M admitting a finite filtration with graded quotients isomorphic to members of Δ . Given $M \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ the element $[M]$ of $K_0(A)$ can be written as

$$[M] = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} n_\lambda [\Delta_\lambda] = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} n_\lambda \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} [\Delta_\lambda : L_\mu] [L_\mu]$$

with suitable $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose a numbering $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ of the elements in Λ such that $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$ implies $i > j$. The matrix $([\Delta_\lambda : L_\mu])_{\lambda, \mu}$ is then unipotent upper triangular and since the elements $[L_\mu]$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of $K_0(A)$, the coefficients n_λ are uniquely determined. The filtration multiplicities $(M : \Delta_\lambda)$ are therefore independent of the choice of filtration. Finally, the standard module Δ_λ is called *schurian* if $\text{End}_A(\Delta_\lambda)$ is a division ring.

Recall that in this situation A is called (right) *quasi-hereditary* if all standard modules are schurian and we have $P_\mu \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ such that $(P_\mu : \Delta_\mu) = 1$ and $(P_\mu : \Delta_\lambda) = 0$ if $\mu \not\leq \lambda$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ (cf. [8], §1).

Remark 2.0.1. Let A be quasi-hereditary with set of standard modules Δ . If $\leq_1$ is a total ordering on Λ that contains $\leq$ then, trivially, $(A, \leq_1)$ is quasi-hereditary with the same set of standard modules. In dealing with quasi-hereditary algebras we may therefore always assume that $\Lambda = \{1, \dots, n\}$, some n , equipped with its natural ordering. In other words, the issue of a *non-adapted* Λ (in the sense of [loc.cit.]) does not arise here.

Remark 2.0.2. Let A be quasi-hereditary. Without recalling a precise definition we remark that $\text{Mod}_{\text{fg}}(A)$ is a highest weight category in the sense of Cline-Parshall-Scott (cf. [5], Lem. 3.4).

If A is a quasi-hereditary algebra it is easy to see that each I_λ has a unique largest submodule ∇_λ with $[\nabla_\lambda : L_\mu] = 0$ for $\mu \not\leq \lambda$. The modules ∇_λ are sometimes called the *costandard modules* associated to A (cf. [8], [9]).

Proposition 2.0.3. *Let A be quasi-hereditary. Then A has (right) global dimension bounded by $2|\Lambda|$.*

Proof. This follows from [8], Lem. 2.2. □

Remark 2.0.4. If the (right) global dimension of a finite dimensional K -algebra A is ≤ 1 then A is (right) hereditary, i.e. all right ideals are projective. ([1], Cor. 5.2). For the extensive and well-understood theory of hereditary algebras we refer to [loc.cit.], chap. VIII.

Proposition 2.0.5. *Let A be a quasi-hereditary algebra. Then*

$$(P_\mu : \Delta_\lambda) \cdot d_\lambda = [\nabla_\lambda : L_\mu] \cdot d_\mu$$

where $d_\lambda := \dim_K \text{End}_A(\Delta_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$.

Proof. [8], Lem. 2.5. and [9], Thm. 3 □

A quasi-hereditary algebra is called a *BGG-algebra* if there exists a contravariant involutive autofunctor D on $\text{Mod}_{\text{fg}}(A)$ such that $D(L_\lambda) \simeq L_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ([14]). Such an algebra satisfies the so-called *strong BGG reciprocity*:

Proposition 2.0.6. *Let A be a BGG algebra. Then*

$$(P_\mu : \Delta_\lambda) \cdot d_\lambda = [\Delta_\lambda : L_\mu] \cdot d_\mu$$

where $d_\lambda := \dim_K \text{End}_A(\Delta_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $D(\nabla_\lambda) \simeq \Delta_\lambda$ for all λ ([9], Lem. 4). The claim follows thus from the above proposition using that D preserves Jordan-Hölder multiplicities. □

Example 2.0.7. Denote by $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ a semisimple Lie algebra over the complex numbers and let $\mathcal{O}$ be the classical *BGG category* with respect to a choice of Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ ([3]). Let χ denote a central character of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{O}^\chi$ the corresponding block of $\mathcal{O}$. The endomorphism ring of a suitable projective generator of $\mathcal{O}^\chi$ is then a BGG-algebra in the above sense (cf. [3], [5], Example 3.3 (c)).

3. DIAGONALISABLE MODULES

We will have to review a certain notion of semisimplicity for topological Fréchet-modules (developed by T. Féaux deLacroix, cf. [10]). For all notions of nonarchimedean functional analysis we refer to P. Schneider's monograph [21].

Let K be a locally compact p -adic field and $\mathcal{H}$ a commutative K -algebra. Let $\mathcal{H}^*$ denote the set of K -valued weights of $\mathcal{H}$, i.e. the set of K -algebra homomorphisms $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow K$. A

subset $Y \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*$ is called *relatively compact* if there are finitely many elements $h_1, \dots, h_l$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that the map

$$Y \longrightarrow K^l, \lambda \mapsto (\lambda(h_1), \dots, \lambda(h_l))$$

is injective with relatively compact image. Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the category whose objects are K -Fréchet spaces M endowed with an action of $\mathcal{H}$ by continuous K -linear endomorphisms. Morphisms are continuous K -linear maps compatible with $\mathcal{H}$ -actions.

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}^*$. Following [10] a nonzero $m \in M$ is called a λ -weight vector if $h.m = \lambda(h).m$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$. In this case λ is called a *weight of M* . The closure M_λ in M of the K -vector space generated by all λ -weight vectors is called the λ -weight space of M . The module M is called $\mathcal{H}$ -diagonalisable if there is a set of weights $\Pi(M) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*$ with the property: to every $m \in M$ there exists a family $\{m_\lambda \in M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Pi(M)}$ converging cofinite against zero in M and satisfying

$$m = \sum_{\lambda \in \Pi(M)} m_\lambda.$$

Given an $\mathcal{H}$ -diagonalisable module M we may form the abstract $\mathcal{H}$ -module

$$M^{ss} = \oplus_{\lambda \in \Pi(M)} M_\lambda$$

(depending on the choice of $\Pi(M)$).

Proposition 3.0.8. *Let M be $\mathcal{H}$ -diagonalisable with a relatively compact set of weights $\Pi(M)$. The following hold:*

- (i) *Given $m = \sum_{\lambda \in \Pi(M)} m_\lambda$ in M the weight components m_λ are uniquely determined by m . If M is contained in a closed $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant subspace of M then so are all m_λ .*
- (ii) *M has no other weights besides the set $\Pi(M)$.*
- (iii) *Suppose additionally that $\dim_K M_\lambda < \infty$ for all $\lambda \in \Pi(M)$. The map*

$$(*) \quad N \mapsto N \cap M^{ss}$$

induces an inclusion preserving bijection between the $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant closed subspaces of M and the abstract $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant subspaces of M^{ss} . The inverse is given by passing to the closure in M .

- (iv) *If in the situation of (iii) M admits additionally an action of a K -algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ that stabilizes M^{ss} then the bijection $(*)$ descends to $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant objects.*

Proof. This follows from Satz 1.3.19 and Kor. 1.3.22 of [10]. Note that K -Fréchet spaces are Hausdorff, complete and barrelled. $\square$

We let $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ whose objects are $\mathcal{H}$ -diagonalisable modules M over a relatively compact set of weights $\Pi(M) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*$ with finite dimensional weight spaces M_λ . By the proposition, given $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ the definition of M^{ss} depends solely on M and coincides with the *socle* of the abstract $\mathcal{H}$ -module M . Let Vec_K be the category of abstract K -vector spaces. The following lemma is easily checked.

Proposition 3.0.9. *The forgetful functor to Vec_K endows $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ with the structure of exact category. The latter is stable under passage to closed $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant subspaces and to the corresponding quotients. The functor on $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$*

$$M \mapsto M^{ss}$$

into the category of abstract $\mathcal{H}$ -modules is faithful and exact.

4. A BGG TYPE CATEGORY

Throughout this section K denotes a locally compact p -adic field.

4.1. Fréchet-Stein algebras. In [22] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum introduce the notion of Fréchet-Stein algebra and show that locally analytic distribution algebras of compact p -adic Lie groups are of such type. Since Arens-Michael envelopes of Lie algebras over K are another example of this type (see below) we briefly review the definition. A K -Fréchet algebra A is called (two-sided) *Fréchet-Stein* if there is a sequence $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots$ of algebra norms on A defining its Fréchet topology and such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the completion A_m of A with respect to q_m is a left and right noetherian K -Banach algebra and a flat left and right A_{m+1} -module via the natural map $A_{m+1} \rightarrow A_m$. Any such algebra A gives rise to a certain full subcategory $\mathcal{C}_A$ of all (left) A -modules, the *coadmissible modules*. As Fréchet-Stein algebras are typically non-noetherian $\mathcal{C}_A$ serves as a well-behaved replacement for the category of all finitely generated (left) A -modules. Instead of giving all details of the construction (cf. [22], §3) we summarize some basic properties of $\mathcal{C}_A$ in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1.1. *Let A be a Fréchet-Stein algebra.*

- (i) *The direct sum of two coadmissible A -modules is coadmissible.*
- (ii) *the (co)kernel and (co)image of an arbitrary A -linear map between coadmissible A -modules is coadmissible.*
- (iii) *the sum of two coadmissible submodules of a coadmissible A -module is coadmissible.*
- (iv) *any finitely generated submodule of a coadmissible A -module is coadmissible.*
- (v) *any finitely presented A -module is coadmissible.*
- (vi) *$\mathcal{C}_A$ is an abelian category.*
- (vii) *any coadmissible A -module M is equipped with a canonical Fréchet topology making it a topological A -module. Any A -linear map between two coadmissible A -modules is continuous and strict with closed image with respect to canonical topologies.*

Proof. [22], Cor. 3.4/3.5 and Lem. 3.6. □

Let A be a Fréchet-Stein algebra. We will make much use of the following basic property of the canonical topology.

Lemma 4.1.2. *For any coadmissible A -module M and any abstract A -submodule $N \subseteq M$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *N is coadmissible.*

- (ii) M/N is coadmissible.
- (iii) N is closed in the canonical topology of M .

Proof. [22], Lem. 3.6. □

4.2. Arens-Michael envelopes. Let K be a locally compact p -adic field. An *Arens-Michael K -algebra* is a locally convex K -algebra topologically isomorphic to a projective limit of K -Banach algebras. For the theory of such algebras we refer to the book by A.Y. Helemskii ([11], chap. V). Given a locally convex K -algebra A its *Arens-Michael envelope* $\hat{A}$ equals the Hausdorff completion of A with respect to the family of all continuous submultiplicative seminorms on A . It is universal with respect to continuous K -algebra homomorphisms of locally convex K -algebras into Arens-Michael algebras. The construction gives a functor

$$A \mapsto \hat{A}$$

from locally convex K -algebras to Arens-Michael algebras which is compatible with projective tensor products and passage to quotients by twosided ideals (cf. [17], 6.1).

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra over K of dimension d and let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be its universal enveloping algebra endowed with the finest locally convex topology. Let $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ be its Arens-Michael envelope. It will be convenient to realize $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ in the following explicit way. Fix a K -basis $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Using the associated PBW-basis for $U(\mathfrak{g})$ we may define for each $r > 0$ a vector space norm on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ via

$$(4.2.0) \quad \|\sum_{\alpha} d_{\alpha} \mathfrak{x}^{\alpha}\|_{\mathfrak{x},r} = \sup_{\alpha} |d_{\alpha}| r^{|\alpha|}$$

where $\mathfrak{x}^{\alpha} := \mathfrak{x}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{x}_d^{\alpha_d}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

Proposition 4.2.1. *The Hausdorff completion of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with respect to the family of norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{x},r}$, $r > 1$ is an Arens-Michael algebra. The canonical homomorphism from $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ into it is a topological algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. It is easy to see that each norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{x},r}$, $r > 1$ is submultiplicative and that this family is cofinal in the directed set of all submultiplicative seminorms on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ (cf. [20]) □

Remark 4.2.2. In analogy to the complex hyperenveloping algebra introduced by P.K. Rasevskii (cf. [18]) the completion of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with respect to the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{x},r}$, $r > 1$ is sometimes called the *p -adic hyperenveloping algebra* ([20],[23]).

The above discussion shows that we have a functor

$$\mathfrak{g} \mapsto \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$$

from finite dimensional Lie algebras over K to Arens-Michael K -algebras satisfying the obvious compatibilities with respect to products/projective tensor products and passage to quotients. It is immediate that everything we said above may be applied *mutatis mutandis* to the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 4.2.3. *The algebras $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ are Fréchet-Stein algebras which are integral domains. The K -linear isomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{g})$ induced by the choice of basis $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ extends to a topological isomorphism $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. [19], Thm. 2.3 and [20], Thm. 2.1. The corresponding noetherian Banach algebras arise as the completions with respect to single norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{x},r}$. $\square$

We conclude with some remarks in case $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian. By the universal property of the Arens-Michael envelope any weight $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow K$ (cf. sect. 3) is automatically continuous. The map

$$\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{g}^*, \lambda \mapsto [\mathfrak{x} \mapsto \lambda(\mathfrak{x})]$$

therefore identifies the set $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})^*$ canonically with the K -linear dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. This identification is compatible with the isomorphism of locally convex K -algebras (cf. prop. 4.2.3)

$$(4.2.3) \quad \mathfrak{X} : \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}_K^{d,an})$$

mapping a chosen Lie algebra basis $\mathfrak{X} := \{\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d\}$ to a system of coordinates on $\mathbb{A}_K^{d,an}$. Here, $\mathbb{A}_K^{d,an}$ denotes the rigid analytic affine d -space over K ([4], 9.3.4) and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}_K^{d,an})$ equals its ring of rigid analytic functions viewed as a locally convex algebra in the usual way.

4.3. Semisimple Lie algebras. From now on $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ denotes a semisimple Lie algebra of dimension d which is split over K . For the basic theory of such algebras we refer to [12]. Let $\mathfrak{h}^*$ denote the K -linear dual and put $l := \dim_K \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a fixed Borel subalgebra containing $\mathfrak{h}$ and let $\mathfrak{b}^-$ be an opposite Borel subalgebra. We will denote by $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{n}^-$ the nilpotent radicals of $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^-$ respectively. We have $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$ and $\mathfrak{h} \simeq \mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{n}$ canonically.

Let $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ denote the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$ and W the associated Weyl group. For each root $\alpha \in \Phi$ let $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be the one dimensional root space in $\mathfrak{g}$. The choice of $\mathfrak{b}$ defines a simple system $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\} \subset \Phi$ and a positive system $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$. Let $m := |\Phi^+|$. As usual put $\rho := 1/2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$. Let $\mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the Kostant function, i.e. $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ equals the number of tuples of nonnegative integers $(c_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi^+}$ such that $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha \alpha$. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the support of $\mathcal{P}$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we let $\lambda \geq \mu$ if $\lambda - \mu \in \Gamma$. Finally, we let $\Lambda_r \subseteq \Lambda$ be the root lattice and the integral weight lattice respectively. Λ contains the subsemigroup of dominant integral weights Λ^+ .

In the following we will fix a *standard basis* $\mathfrak{X}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ consisting of root vectors $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha > 0$ and $h_\alpha := [x_\alpha, y_\alpha]$ with $\alpha(h_\alpha) = 2$. Its structure constants lie in $\mathbb{Z}$ and we have $\lambda(h_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ([13]). Write $h_i := h_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$ and let

$$\mathfrak{H} := \{h_1, \dots, h_l\}.$$

Throughout this article we will work with this fixed choice of K -basis of $\mathfrak{h}$.

The choice of $\mathfrak{X}$ induces a K -linear isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{g}$$

in the usual way. In the following we will work with the projective tensor product.

Lemma 4.3.1. *The above isomorphism extends to an isomorphism*

$$\hat{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \hat{\otimes}_K \hat{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \hat{\otimes}_K \hat{U}(\mathfrak{n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$$

of topological $(\hat{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-), \hat{U}(\mathfrak{n}))$ -bimodules and similarly for S instead of U .

Proof. Compatibility of Arens-Michael envelopes with projective tensor products applied to the algebra isomorphism

$$S(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes_K S(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes_K S(\mathfrak{n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} S(\mathfrak{g})$$

gives the claim for S . Now the second claim of Prop. 4.2.3 applied to all algebras $\mathfrak{n}^-, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}$ gives the claim for U . $\square$

4.4. A BGG type category. Keeping the notation recall that $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is Fréchet-Stein and denote the category of coadmissible $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ (and similarly for $\mathfrak{n}^-, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{h}$ etc.). By functoriality we have a continuous homomorphism $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ extending the inclusion $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. We apply the notions of section 3 to the commutative K -algebra $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h})$. In particular, restriction of scalars along $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ induces a faithful and exact functor

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h})).$$

Recall that Γ equals $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Phi^+$.

Lemma 4.4.1. *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h}))$ be $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ -diagonalisable with a set of weights $\Pi(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ contained in finitely many cosets of the form $\lambda - \Gamma$. Then $\Pi(M)$ is relatively compact.*

Proof. Invoking the basis elements $\mathfrak{H} = \{h_1, \dots, h_l\}$ the map

$$\Pi(M) \rightarrow K^l, \lambda \mapsto (\lambda(h_1), \dots, \lambda(h_l))$$

is injective. Since $\alpha(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ it maps a coset modulo $-\Gamma$ onto a coset modulo $\oplus_{i=1, \dots, l} \mathbb{Z}.n_i$ with some $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The latter is obviously relatively compact in K^l . $\square$

This lemma enables us to single out the following well-behaved subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Definition 4.4.2. The category $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ for $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ equals the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ consisting of coadmissible modules M satisfying:

- (1) M is $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ -diagonalisable with $\Pi(M)$ contained in the union of finitely many cosets of the form $\lambda - \Gamma, \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.
- (2) All weight spaces $M_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Pi(M)$ are finite dimensional over K .

Remark 4.4.3. It is immediate that the category $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ a priori depends on choices we have made (choice of $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}^-, \mathfrak{X}$ etc.). We also remark that the left regular module $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is not $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ -diagonalisable and, hence, does not lie in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. This is clear from the fact that $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an integral domain (Prop. 4.2.3).

Before constructing interesting objects in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ we list some basic formal properties.

Proposition 4.4.4. (i) *The direct sum in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of two objects of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$*
(ii) *the (co)kernel and (co)image of an arbitrary $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -linear map between objects in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$*
(iii) *the sum of two coadmissible submodules of an object in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$*
(iv) *any finitely generated submodule of an object in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$*
(v) *$\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is an abelian category.*

Proof. This follows from Prop. 4.1.1 and Lem. 3.0.9. $\square$

Lemma 4.4.5. *For any object M in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and any abstract $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule $N \subseteq M$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $N \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$
- (ii) $M/N \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$
- (iii) N is closed in the canonical topology of M .

Proof. Lem. 4.1.2. $\square$

Recall the exact category $\mathcal{D}(\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h}))$ of section 3.

Lemma 4.4.6. *Let $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$. The map $N \mapsto N \cap M^{ss}$ defines an inclusion preserving bijection between subobjects of $M \in \mathcal{D}(\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h}))$ and abstract $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -submodules of M^{ss} . It descends to a bijection between subobjects of $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and abstract $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodules of M^{ss} .*

Proof. M is $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -diagonalisable with set of weights $\Pi(M)$ and finite dimensional weight spaces. The first statement follows thus from propositions 3.0.8 and 3.0.9. For the second statement observe that the K -subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ stabilizes M^{ss} (e.g. [7], Prop. 7.1.2). Again by Prop. 3.0.8 the bijection descends to closed $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant subobjects of $M \in \mathcal{D}(\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h}))$ and abstract $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodules of M^{ss} . The $\mathcal{A}$ -action on such a subobject $N \subseteq M$ uniquely extends to $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ making N a subobject of $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ according Lem. 4.4.5. $\square$

Example 4.4.7. Let M be a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Since $\text{End}_K(M)$ has a natural K -Banach topology the $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -action uniquely extends to $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ yielding $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. By standard highest weight theory for semisimple Lie algebras ([12]) M is $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -diagonalisable with set of weights contained in a finite union of cosets $\lambda - \Gamma, \lambda \in \Lambda^+$. Hence $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$. We thus obtain an exact and fully faithful embedding from finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules into $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$.

4.5. p -adic Verma modules. We are going to construct analogues of Verma modules in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. Let M be for a moment an arbitrary coadmissible $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. As usual, a *maximal vector* of weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ in M is a nonzero element $m \in M_\lambda$ such that $\mathfrak{n}.m = 0$. We call a coadmissible module M a *highest weight module with highest weight λ* if it is a cyclic $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module on a maximal vector in M_λ .

Remark 4.5.1. Any $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ has a maximal vector as can be deduced from axiom (1). In particular, any simple object in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is a highest weight module.

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and consider the (left) $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module

$$\hat{M}(\lambda) := \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\hat{U}(\mathfrak{b})} K_\lambda$$

where K_λ refers to the one dimensional $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{b})$ -module

$$\bar{\lambda} : \hat{U}(\mathfrak{b}) \longrightarrow \hat{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} K.$$

Here, $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{b}) \rightarrow \hat{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ comes by functoriality from the natural projection $\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$.

Proposition 4.5.2. *The coadmissible module $\hat{M}(\lambda)$ lies in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and is a highest weight module of weight λ .*

Proof. The kernel J of $\bar{\lambda}$ is seen to be finitely generated by $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Phi^+}$ and $\{h_\alpha - \lambda(h_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ whence $\hat{M}(\lambda) = \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})/\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})J \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The canonical topology on $\hat{M}(\lambda)$ is induced from the quotient topology on $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ whence an isomorphism of topological $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules

$$\hat{M}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})/\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})J = \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})/\overline{\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})J} \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{\hat{U}(\mathfrak{b})} K_\lambda$$

where we invoke the usual completed projective tensor product of locally convex algebras ([11], I.§2.3). Since this latter product is associative Prop. 4.3.1 shows that

$$\hat{M}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes_K K_\lambda$$

canonically as topological left $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ -modules. It easily follows that $\hat{M}(\lambda) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$. $\square$

Let $M(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}$ denote the classical Verma module of $\mathfrak{g}$ with weight λ ([3],[7],[13]). We deduce from the preceding proof.

Corollary 4.5.3. *We have canonically $\hat{M}(\lambda)^{ss} \simeq M(\lambda)$ as abstract $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.*

Proposition 4.5.4. *Let $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a highest weight module on a maximal vector $m \in M$ of weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. We have the following:*

- (a) *M is $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ -diagonalisable with a compact set of weights $\Pi(M)$ satisfying $\mu \leq \lambda$ for $\mu \in \Pi(M)$.*
- (b) *One has $\dim_K M_\mu < \infty$ and $\dim_K M_\lambda = 1$ for all $\mu \in \Pi(M)$. In particular, $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and M is a finite length object in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$.*
- (c) *Each nonzero quotient of M by a coadmissible submodule is again a highest weight module.*

- (d) *Each coadmissible submodule of M generated by a maximal vector $m \in M$ of weight $\mu < \lambda$ is proper. In particular, if M is a simple object then all its maximal vectors lie in $K.m$ and hence $\text{End}_{\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})}(M) = K$.*
- (e) *M has a unique maximal subobject and a unique simple quotient object and, hence, is indecomposable in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.*
- (f) *Let M, N be two highest weight modules of weights λ and μ respectively. We have $\dim_K \text{Hom}_{\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})}(M, N) < \infty$. If $\lambda \neq \mu$ then M and N are nonisomorphic. If M and N are simple objects and $\lambda = \mu$ then $M \simeq N$.*

Proof. Since M is a quotient object of $\hat{M}(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ we obtain an $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -linear surjection

$$M(\lambda) = \hat{M}(\lambda)^{ss} \longrightarrow M^{ss}$$

by right exactness of $(\cdot)^{ss}$. In particular, M^{ss} is a highest weight module of weight λ in $\mathcal{O}$. All properties follow then from classical results on highest weight modules in $\mathcal{O}$ (e.g. [13], Thm. 1.2). $\square$

Let $\hat{L}(\lambda)$ denote the unique simple quotient of $\hat{M}(\lambda)$. By Rem. 4.5.1 we have the following result.

Corollary 4.5.5. *The map $\lambda \mapsto [\hat{L}(\lambda)]$ is a bijection from $\mathfrak{h}^*$ onto the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$.*

Recall that a weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is called *antidominant* if $\langle \lambda + \rho, \check{\alpha} \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$.

Proposition 4.5.6. *Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ the object $\hat{M}(\lambda) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is simple if and only if λ is antidominant. The quotient $\hat{L}(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.*

Proof. This follows from classical results on Verma modules ([7], Thm. 7.6.24 and Thm. 7.2.6 together with Cor. 4.5.3, Prop. 3.0.9 and Prop. 4.4.6). $\square$

Remark 4.5.7. If λ is not antidominant there exists $\alpha \in \Delta$ with $n := \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and a nonzero morphism $\hat{M}(\mu) \rightarrow \hat{M}(\lambda)$, $1 \otimes 1 \mapsto y_{\alpha}^{n+1} \otimes 1$ where $\mu = \lambda - (n+1)\alpha < \alpha$. This follows as in the case of Verma modules from the commutation rules in $U(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ ([13], Prop. 1.4).

Remark 4.5.8. The method of comparing elements of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ to elements in $\mathcal{O}$ via $(\cdot)^{ss}$ is limited. In category $\mathcal{O}$ any object has a finite filtration with graded pieces equal to highest weight modules (e.g. [13], Cor. 1.2) and consequently, $\mathcal{O}$ is artinian and noetherian. However, for a general object of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ there is no a priori reason to be finitely generated over $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ whence we do not expect $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ to be noetherian. The situation improves if we fix a central character (see below).

4.6. Relation to category $\mathcal{O}$. In Ex. 5.2.3 we established a fully faithful embedding from the finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules into $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. Any finitely generated (left) $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module is finitely presented and therefore $M \mapsto \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g})} M$ constitutes a functor F from such

modules into $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ (Prop. 4.1.1 (v)). Now the main result of [20] proves the extension $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be *stably flat*. Instead of recalling the precise meaning of stable flatness we remark that it is stronger than ordinary flatness and so, in particular, F is exact. It is almost obvious that $F(M(\lambda)) = \hat{M}(\lambda)$ and hence any highest weight module of $\mathcal{O}$ is mapped to a highest weight module in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. Since any module M in $\mathcal{O}$ has a finite filtration with graded quotients being highest weight modules there is a surjection $\oplus_i M_i \xrightarrow{\Sigma} M$ where the source is a finite direct sum of highest weight modules. Since F commutes with direct sums we see $F(M) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$. We have established an exact functor

$$F : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}$$

extending the aforementioned embedding of the finite dimensional modules into $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$.

Proposition 4.6.1. *The functor F is fully faithful. A left quasi-inverse is given by $(\cdot)^{ss}$.*

Proof. If $M \in \mathcal{O}$ and $m \in M_{\lambda}$ the map $m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$ induces a $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -linear homomorphism from M_{λ} into the λ -weight space of $F(M)^{ss}$. It extends to a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -linear homomorphism $M \rightarrow F(M)^{ss}$ natural in M . If M is a Verma module it is bijective according to Cor. 4.5.3. If $M \in \mathcal{O}$ is a highest weight module we consider an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M(\lambda) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

for suitable $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Writing N as a subquotient of the left regular module $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and recalling (proof of Prop. 4.5.2) that $\hat{M}(\lambda)$ equals the completion of $U(\mathfrak{g})/U(\mathfrak{g})J = M(\lambda)$ with respect to the (separated) quotient topology one sees that the natural injection $F(N) \rightarrow \hat{M}(\lambda)$ has image equal to the closure of N in $\hat{M}(\lambda)$. Hence, $N \simeq F(N)^{ss}$ by Prop. 3.0.8(iii) and therefore $M \simeq F(M)^{ss}$. Now let $M \in \mathcal{O}$ be arbitrary. By devissage we may assume that M is an extension of highest weight modules. But then $M \simeq F(M)^{ss}$ by the snake lemma. $\square$

5. BLOCK DECOMPOSITION

5.1. p -adic Harish-Chandra homomorphism. We begin by recalling some standard results on the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ ([7]). Recall that the usual adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on itself extends to an action of $\mathfrak{g}$ by derivations on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ denote the K -algebras of invariants.

Let $\gamma^{\sharp}$ be the algebra automorphism of $S(\mathfrak{h})$ sending a polynomial function f on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ to the function $\lambda \mapsto f(\lambda - \rho)$. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})_0$ be the commutant of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then

$$I := U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}^+ \cap U(\mathfrak{g})_0 = \mathfrak{n}^- U(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U(\mathfrak{g})_0$$

is a two-sided ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})_0$ such that $U(\mathfrak{g})_0 = U(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus I$. The corresponding algebra surjection $\varphi : U(\mathfrak{g})_0 \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})$ is called the *Harish-Chandra homomorphism* relative to $\mathfrak{b}$. The map

$$\psi := \gamma^{\sharp} \circ \varphi|_{Z(\mathfrak{g})} : Z(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} S(\mathfrak{h})^W$$

is an algebra isomorphism independent of the choice of $\mathfrak{b}$.

It will be convenient to extend this isomorphism to Arens-Michael envelopes. That this is possible follows from work of J. Kohlhaase on the center of p -adic distribution algebras ([15]). We summarize the relevant results.

Proposition 5.1.1. *The $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ extends to Arens-Michael envelopes and the same holds for the Weyl action on $S(\mathfrak{h})$. The algebra of invariants $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ coincides with the center $\hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and equals the closure of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. The homomorphism ψ extends to a topological isomorphism of K -Fréchet algebras*

$$\hat{\psi} : \hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{S}(\mathfrak{h})^W.$$

Proof. All this is contained in [15], sect. 2.1. For example the last statement follows from Prop. 2.1.5 and (proof of) Thm. 2.1.6. $\square$

Remark 5.1.2. Let $X = \mathbb{A}_K^{l,an}$. The basis $\mathfrak{H} = \{h_1, \dots, h_l\}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{H} : \hat{S}(\mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}(X)$ (cf. (4.2.3)). It follows that W acts on X by rigid analytic automorphisms. Moreover, W is finite whence the rigid-analytic quotient X/W exists by general principles. In particular, $\mathfrak{H}$ induces a topological isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathfrak{H}} : \hat{S}(\mathfrak{h})^W \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}(X/W).$$

Finally, since this situation is the analytification of an algebraic action on algebraic affine space via the finite group W usual commutative algebra gives a noncanonical isomorphism $X/W \simeq X$. The above proposition gives thus a very explicit description of the center of $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. For more details we refer to [loc.cit.].

5.2. Central characters. Recall that the usual *dot action* of W on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is given by $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*, w \in W$. Since translating the origin of $X = \mathbb{A}_K^{l,an}$ to $-\rho$ is a rigid isomorphism, say γ , the action extends to a dot-action of W on X giving $\bar{\gamma} : X/W \xrightarrow{\cong} X/(W, \cdot)$. Invoking Prop. 5.1.1 the composite $(\bar{\gamma}^\sharp)^{-1} \circ \overline{\mathfrak{H}} \circ \hat{\psi}$ is a canonical topological isomorphism

$$(5.2.0) \quad \hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \hat{S}(\mathfrak{h})^W \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}(X/W) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}(X/(W, \cdot))$$

of K -Fréchet algebras.

Now let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and choose a highest weight module $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ with maximal vector $m \in M_\lambda$. By Prop. 4.4.6 we have $\text{End}_{\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})}(M) = K$ whence a continuous character $\chi_\lambda : \hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow K$. Since $\hat{\psi}$ extends the $\gamma^\sharp$ -twisted Harish-Chandra homomorphism and since $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is dense the resulting map $\lambda \mapsto \chi_\lambda$ is induced by the rigid analytic quotient morphism

$$\pi : X \rightarrow X/(W, \cdot).$$

In particular, any continuous character $\chi : \hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow K$ arises, up to a finite extension of K , as some χ_λ .

In analogy to the classical case of category $\mathcal{O}$ we call the fibers of π *linkage classes*. If $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ lie in the same fiber that is $\lambda = w \cdot \mu$ for some $w \in W$, we say λ is *linked to*

μ . Recall that a highest weight module has finite length (Prop. 4.4.6). The following corollary is obvious.

Corollary 5.2.1. *All Jordan-Hölder factors of a highest weight module in $\mathcal{O}$ have linked highest weights.*

Let $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and let $\chi : \hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow K$ be a central character. Then $\hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on the weight spaces M_λ and we may form the subspace

$$M_\lambda^\chi := \{m \in M_\lambda : (\ker \chi)^n \cdot m = 0 \text{ for some } n = n(m) \geq 0\}.$$

Since $\oplus_\lambda M_\lambda^\chi$ is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of M^{ss} its closure M^χ in M is a subobject of M (Lem. 4.4.6). We define the following full subcategory of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi := \{M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}} : M^\chi = M\}$$

Proposition 5.2.2. *The category $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ is abelian. The functor $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi, M \mapsto M^\chi$ is exact and induces an exact and fully faithful embedding of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ into the direct product of the subcategories $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$. The embedding $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ maps $\mathcal{O}^\chi$ into $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$.*

Proof. Giving $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ the exact structure coming from $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ let us show that $M \mapsto M^\chi$ is an exact functor. Choose topological generators $z_1, \dots, z_l$ of $\hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ according to rem. 5.1.2. Then M_λ^χ equals the simultaneous generalized eigenspace of the finitely many commuting operators $z_1, \dots, z_l$ on the finite dimensional space M_λ corresponding to the ordered set of eigenvalues $\chi(z_1), \dots, \chi(z_l)$. Given a morphism $M \rightarrow N$ in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ we have a morphism $M_\lambda \rightarrow N_\lambda$ and thus, by standard linear algebra, a morphism $M_\lambda^\chi \rightarrow N_\lambda^\chi$. Taking the sum over all λ and passing to closures with respect to the induced subspace topologies we see that $M \mapsto M^\chi$ is indeed functorial. Using strictness of maps in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ with respect to canonical topologies (Prop. 4.1.1 (vii)) the same argument yields its exactness ([4], Cor. 1.1.9/6). It is now clear that the subcategory $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ is closed under passage to kernels and cokernels and, thus, abelian. Finally, the sum $\sum_\chi M^\chi$ is dense and direct in M as follows from $M^{ss} = \oplus_\chi (M^\chi \cap M^{ss})$ and properties of $(\cdot)^{ss}$. In particular, the functor $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \prod_\chi \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi, M \mapsto (M^\chi)_\chi$ is fully faithful.

For the final statement, note that the blocks $\mathcal{O}^\chi$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ are Serre subcategories in $\mathcal{O}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ respectively. By devissage it suffices to show that a highest weight module $M \in \mathcal{O}^\chi$ is mapped into $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ and this is obvious. $\square$

Proposition 5.2.3. *The category $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ is artinian and noetherian.*

Proof. Let $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ be given and put $V := \sum_{\mu \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)} M_\mu$. Since π has finite fibers we have $\dim_K V < \infty$. Suppose $N' \subsetneq N \subseteq M$ are two subobjects. Let $m \in N/N'$ be a maximal vector of some weight μ . Since the subobject $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot m \subseteq N/N'$ is a highest weight module $\hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ operates on m via χ_μ . Hence $\chi_\mu = \chi$ and $\mu \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)$. By definition $m \in N \cap V$ whence $\dim_K N \cap V > \dim_K N' \cap V$. This shows M to be artinian and noetherian. $\square$

Recall that an object Y of an abelian category is called *indecomposable* if $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ implies $Y_1 = 0$ or $Y_2 = 0$. Recall that an arbitrary unital associative ring is called *local* if its noninvertible elements form a proper two-sided ideal.

Proposition 5.2.4. *Any object in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ is finitely generated by weight vectors and decomposes uniquely up to isomorphism and order into a finite direct sum of indecomposable objects. Finally, $\dim_K \text{Hom}(M, N) < \infty$ for all $M, N \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$.*

Proof. The first and the last statement follow by induction on the length. It is well-known that any abelian category which is artinian and noetherian satisfies a generalized Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem (e.g. [16], Cor. 3.3.3): any object decomposes uniquely up to isomorphism and order into a finite direct sum of objects having local endomorphism rings. It remains to remark that a finite length object in any abelian category is indecomposable if and only if its endomorphism ring is local ([loc.cit.], Prop. 3.3.2). $\square$

5.3. Projective generators. We investigate some homological properties of the categories $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$.

Proposition 5.3.1. *The category $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ has enough projectives.*

Proof. Our method of proof is inspired from [3], Thm. 2. Consider the functor

$$F_\mu^\chi : \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi \rightarrow \text{Vec}_K, M \mapsto M_\mu$$

for a fixed $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. We claim that F_μ^χ is representable. To prove this fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and topological generators $z_1, \dots, z_l$ of $\hat{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ (cf. rem. 5.1.2). Consider the quotient $\hat{M}_n(\mu)$ of $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the left ideal generated by

- (a) $\{h_\alpha - \mu(h_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$
- (b) $\{\text{all products } y_{\beta_1} y_{\beta_2} \cdots y_{\beta_n} \text{ of length } n \text{ with } \beta_i \in \Phi^+\}$

and its component $\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$. For any $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ there is a natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}(\hat{M}_n(\mu), M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \{m \in M_\mu : y_{\beta_1} y_{\beta_2} \cdots y_{\beta_n} \cdot m = 0 \text{ for all } \beta_i \in \Phi^+\}, f \mapsto f(\bar{1}).$$

For $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ there exists $n > 0$ such that any $m \in M_\mu$ is killed by the elements of (b). Indeed, choose any $n > 0$ such that

$$n \geq \langle \lambda - \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle$$

for $\lambda \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)$ and suppose for a contradiction that $y_{\beta_1} \cdots y_{\beta_{n+1}} \cdot m \neq 0$. Put $m' := y_{\beta_2} \cdots y_{\beta_{n+1}} \cdot m$. Since the set $\Pi(M)$ lies in finitely many cosets of the form $\mu - \Gamma$ there exists a maximal vector $m'' \in M$ of the form $M'' = n \cdot m'$ with n in the augmentation ideal of $U(\mathfrak{n})$. Let μ' and μ'' be the weights of m' and m'' respectively so that $\mu'' - \mu' \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ and thus

$$\langle \mu'' - \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle > \langle \mu' - \mu, \check{\rho} \rangle = n$$

by definition of $\check{\rho} = 1/2 \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \beta$. However, $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot m''$ is a highest weight module whose central character must satisfy $\chi_{\mu''} = \chi$ and so $\mu'' \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)$. This contradicts the choice

of n . We deduce that for $n \gg 0$ the module $\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\chi$ represents F_μ^χ . In case $F_\mu^\chi \neq 0$ we let $P_\mu := \hat{M}_n(\mu)^\chi, n \gg 0$ be the representing object of F_μ^χ . It is projective since Lem. 3.0.9 shows the functor F_μ^χ to be exact. Finally, suppose $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ is given. By Cor. 5.2.4 there are nonzero vectors $m_1, \dots, m_k$ of weights $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ generating the $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module M . The natural $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module homomorphism

$$\pi : \bigoplus_{j=1, \dots, k} P_{\mu_j} \otimes_K \text{Hom}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}} (P_{\mu_j}, M) \longrightarrow M,$$

where $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on summands on the left through the left factor in the tensor product, is then surjective. Since $\dim_K \text{Hom}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}} (P_{\mu_j}, M) < \infty$ ([loc.cit.]) the source is visibly projective. $\square$

Remark 5.3.2. The preceding result is remarkable since one of the main results of [24] shows that categories of coadmissible modules over Fréchet-Stein algebras generally do not have enough projectives.

Recall that an epimorphism $p : Y \rightarrow Z$ between objects of an abelian category is called *essential* if any morphism $q : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is an epimorphism provided $p \circ q$ is an epimorphism. A *projective cover* of Y is an essential epimorphism $p : P \rightarrow Y$ with P projective. A projective cover is unique up to nonunique isomorphism. The following lemma is well-known (e.g. [16], Lem. 2.2.3.).

Lemma 5.3.3. *Given a epimorphism $p : P \rightarrow Y$ with P projective and Y a simple object p is essential if and only if $\text{End}(P)$ is local.*

Lemma 5.3.4. *Any simple object $L(\mu) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_\chi$ has a projective cover.*

Proof. Since $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ is Krull-Remak-Schmidt and has enough projectives there is an epimorphism $p : P \rightarrow L(\mu)$ with P projective and indecomposable. Since P has finite length $\text{End}(P)$ is local and the preceding lemma applies. $\square$

By Cor. 5.2.1 $\{L(\mu)\}_{\mu \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)}$ is a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$. Let $P(\mu) \rightarrow L(\mu)$ be a fixed choice of projective cover. A straightforward argument with projective covers shows that $\{P(\mu)\}_{\mu \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)}$ is a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of indecomposable projectives in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$. By the Krull-Remak-Schmidt property (Cor. 5.2.4) a sufficiently large finite sum of copies of

$$P^\chi := \bigoplus_{\mu \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)} P(\mu)$$

covers any object in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$. This discussion combined with [2], Prop. II (1.1) shows

Lemma 5.3.5. *P^χ is a projective generator of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$.*

Theorem 5.3.6. *The endomorphism ring $A^\chi = \text{End}(P^\chi)$ is a finite-dimensional K -algebra and the functor $\text{Hom}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}} (P, \cdot)$ induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Mod}_{\text{fg}}^r(A^\chi)$$

where the right-hand side denotes finitely generated right A^χ -modules.

Proof. A^χ is finite dimensional by Cor. 5.2.3. Since $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ is abelian and noetherian with projective generator P^χ the second statement is a direct consequence of the theorem of Gabriel-Mitchell ([2], Thm. II.1.3 and subsequent exercise). $\square$

Remark 5.3.7. The right A^χ -module structure on $\text{Hom}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}(P, M)$ is given by $f.a = f \circ a$. The above equivalence depends on the choice of P .

Since A^χ is artinian we have the classical idempotent theory on A^χ including the block decomposition of A^χ and the classification of projective modules (cf. [6], VIII). To investigate the finer structure of A^χ , such as its Cartan matrix, we invoke the formalism of highest weight categories and quasi-hereditary algebras.

5.4. Standard filtrations and reciprocity. A *standard filtration* of an object $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is a finite filtration $\text{Fil}^\bullet M$ in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ with graded quotients equal to standard modules of the form $\hat{M}(\mu)$ for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. In this situation we denote by $(\text{Fil}^\bullet M : \hat{M}(\lambda))$ the multiplicity of $\hat{M}(\lambda)$ in M and by $l(\text{Fil}^\bullet M)$ the filtration length. Since such a module is generated over $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ by finitely many weight vectors we have the following.

Lemma 5.4.1. *Let $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ have a standard filtration $\text{Fil}^\bullet M$. Then*

$$\#\{\chi : M^\chi \neq 0\} \leq l(\text{Fil}^\bullet M).$$

Let $n \geq 1$, $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\hat{M}_n(\mu) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ be the quotient of $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ appearing in (the proof of) Prop. 5.3.1. Recall that $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the Kostant function (cf. 4.3).

Proposition 5.4.2. *The following hold:*

- (a) *If M admits a standard filtration and $\mu \in \Phi(M)$ is maximal among the weights of M then there is an inclusion $\hat{M}(\mu) \hookrightarrow M$ and $M/\hat{M}(\mu)$ admits a standard filtration.*
- (b) *If M admits a standard filtration then any direct summand of M admits a standard filtration.*
- (c) *The module $\hat{M}_n(\mu)$ admits a standard filtration $\text{Fil}^\bullet \hat{M}_n(\mu)$ such that*

$$(\text{Fil}^\bullet \hat{M}_n(\mu) : \hat{M}(\lambda)) = \mathcal{P}(\lambda - \mu)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Proof. These are easy adaptations of the arguments settling claims (a), (b), (c) appearing in [3], Prop. §6.2. Note for (c) that our Prop. 4.3.1 replaces the ordinary PBW-theorem. $\square$

Let χ be a central character and let P_χ be the projective generator of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ as defined previously. Our goal is now to prove that each $A_\chi = \text{End}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}(P^\chi)$ is a quasi-hereditary algebra. To do this put $s = s_\chi := |\pi^{-1}(\chi)|$ and fix a partial ordering of the weights $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)$ such that $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$ implies $i > j$. Cor. 4.5.4 guarantees that the family $\{\hat{M}(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)}$ is a collection of schurian standard modules (cf. sect. 2) for A^χ relative to our ordering on $\pi^{-1}(\chi)$. In particular, the multiplicities $(M : \hat{M}(\lambda))$ are well-defined for $M \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ and $\lambda \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)$.

Proposition 5.4.3. *Let $\pi(\mu) = \chi$. Each $\hat{P}(\mu)$ admits a standard filtration with $(\hat{P}(\mu) : \hat{M}(\lambda)) = 1$ if $\mu = \lambda$ and 0 if $\mu \not\leq \lambda$.*

Proof. Right-exactness of $(.)^\times$ applied to the canonical quotient map $\hat{M}_n(\mu) \rightarrow \hat{M}(\mu)$ yields a surjection $\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\times \rightarrow \hat{M}(\mu)$. Since the source is projective and $\hat{P}(\mu)$ equals the projective cover of the target we obtain a surjection $\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\times \rightarrow \hat{P}(\mu)$ which has to split. In other words $\hat{P}(\mu)$ is a direct summand of $\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\times$ and, hence, of $\hat{M}_n(\mu)$ (Lem. 5.4.1). According to 5.4.2, it then has a standard filtration. By properties of $\mathcal{P}$, a standard filtration on $\hat{M}_n(\mu)$ has the claimed multiplicities. We show that the same is true for a standard filtration on any direct summand of $\hat{M}_n(\mu)$. So let $\hat{M}_n(\mu) = N \oplus N'$ and use induction on the filtration length l of $\hat{M}_n(\mu)$. If $l = 1$ then $\hat{M}_n(\mu) = \hat{M}(\mu)$ which is indecomposable. Thus assume $l > 1$. Then $n > 1$ and there exists a weight λ which is maximal among $\Phi(M)$ and satisfies $\lambda = \mu + \nu$ with $\nu \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$. We may assume $N_\lambda \neq 0$ whence a chain of inclusions

$$\hat{M}(\lambda) \hookrightarrow N \hookrightarrow \hat{M}_n(\mu)$$

due to Prop. 5.4.2(a). By induction hypothesis a standard filtration on the direct summand $N/\hat{M}(\lambda)$ of $\hat{M}_n(\mu)/\hat{M}(\lambda)$ has the desired multiplicities. Since $\lambda > \mu$ the same holds for N . $\square$

Proposition 5.4.4. *Fix a central character χ . Then*

$$(\hat{P}(\mu) : \hat{M}(\lambda)) = [\hat{M}(\lambda) : \hat{L}(\mu)]$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)$.

Proof. The arguments given in [3], §6 generalize as follows. We have

$$[\hat{M}(\lambda) : \hat{L}(\mu)] = \dim_K \text{Hom}(\hat{P}(\mu), \hat{M}(\lambda)).$$

Indeed, by additivity on short exact sequences we may assume that $\hat{M}(\lambda)$ is simple. Since A_χ is a finite dimensional K -algebra any indecomposable projective A_χ -module, such as $\hat{P}(\mu)$, has a unique maximal submodule (e.g. [6] Thm. (54.11), (56.6)). It follows that both sides are equal to the Kronecker delta $\delta_{\lambda\mu}$. On the other hand, we have

$$(5.4.4) \quad (\hat{P}(\mu) : \hat{M}(\lambda)) = \dim_K \text{Hom}(\hat{P}(\mu), \hat{M}(\lambda)).$$

Indeed, decomposing the projective $\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\times$ as $\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\times = \sum_\lambda n(\mu, \lambda) \hat{P}(\lambda)$ with some $n(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$n(\mu, \lambda) = \dim_K \text{Hom}(\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\times, \hat{L}(\lambda)) = \dim_K \hat{L}(\lambda)_\mu$$

which equals 1 if $\mu = \lambda$ and 0 if $\mu \not\leq \lambda$. To see the last equality here recall that $\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\times$ represents the functor $N \mapsto N_\mu$. If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ is a total ordering such that $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$ implies $i > j$ the matrix $N = (n(\mu, \lambda))_{\mu\lambda}$ is unipotent lower triangular. We may therefore replace $\hat{P}(\mu)$ by $\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\times$ in (5.4.4). But then

$$(\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\times : \hat{M}(\lambda)) = \mathcal{P}(\lambda - \mu) = \dim_K \hat{M}(\lambda)_\mu = \dim_K \text{Hom}(\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\times, \hat{M}(\lambda)).$$

Here, the first equality comes from applying the exact functor $(.)^\chi$ to the standard filtration of $\hat{M}_n(\mu)$ appearing in Prop. 5.4.2 using $\mu, \lambda \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)$ and the last equality holds, again, by definition of $\hat{M}_n(\mu)^\chi$ as a representing object. $\square$

Corollary 5.4.5. *Let χ be a central character. The block $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\chi$ is a highest weight category. The quasi-hereditary algebra A^χ satisfies strong BGG duality.*

Proof. This follows from sect. 2 using the above results. Note that $\text{End}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}(\hat{M}(\lambda)) = K$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ according to Cor. 4.5.4. $\square$

Remark 5.4.6. We leave it as an open question whether or not A^χ is even a BGG algebra in the sense of sect. 2, i.e. whether or not there is a duality D on $\text{Mod}_{\text{fg}}^r(A^\chi)$ such that $D(\hat{L}(\mu)) \simeq \hat{L}(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in \pi^{-1}(\chi)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Maurice Auslander, Idun Reiten, and Smalø Sverre O. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, volume 36 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [2] H. Bass. *Algebraic K-theory*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968.
- [3] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand. A certain category of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 10(2):1–8, 1976.
- [4] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert. *Non-Archimedean analysis*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [5] E. Cline, B. Parshall, and L. Scott. Finite-dimensional algebras and highest weight categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 391:85–99, 1988.
- [6] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner. *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006. Reprint of the 1962 original.
- [7] Jacques Dixmier. *Enveloping algebras*, volume 11 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. Revised reprint of the 1977 translation.
- [8] Vlastimil Dlab and Claus Michael Ringel. The module theoretical approach to quasi-hereditary algebras. In *Representations of algebras and related topics (Kyoto, 1990)*, volume 168 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 200–224. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [9] R. Farnsteiner. Quasi-hereditary algebras: BGG reciprocity. *Course notes*.
- [10] Christian Tobias Féaux de Lacroix. Einige Resultate über die topologischen Darstellungen p -adischer Liegruppen auf unendlich dimensionalen Vektorräumen über einem p -adischen Körper. In *Schriftenreihe des Mathematischen Instituts der Universität Münster. 3. Serie, Heft 23*, 1999.
- [11] A.Ya. Helemskii. *Banach and Locally Convex Algebras*. Oxford Science Publications. Oxford University Press, 1993.
- [12] James E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, volume 9 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978. Second printing, revised.
- [13] James E. Humphreys. *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category $\mathcal{O}$* , volume 94 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [14] Ronald S. Irving. BGG algebras and the BGG reciprocity principle. *J. Algebra*, 135(2):363–380, 1990.
- [15] J. Kohlhaase. Invariant distributions on p -adic analytic groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 137(1):19–62, 2007.
- [16] H. Krause. Krull-Remak-Schmidt categories and projective covers. *Course notes*.
- [17] A. Yu. Pirkovskii. Stably flat completions of universal enveloping algebras. *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)*, 441:60, 2006.

- [18] P. K. Raševskii. Associative superenvelope of a Lie algebra and its regular representation and ideals. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 15:3–54, 1966.
- [19] T. Schmidt. Analytic vectors in continuous p -adic representations. *Compositio Math.*, 145:247–270, 2009.
- [20] T. Schmidt. Stable flatness of nonarchimedean hyperenveloping algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 323/3:757–765, 2010.
- [21] P. Schneider. *Nonarchimedean functional analysis*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [22] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum. Algebras of p -adic distributions and admissible representations. *Invent. Math.*, 153(1):145–196, 2003.
- [23] J. Teitelbaum. Algèbres de Hecke et représentations de Banach et localement analytiques des groupes de Lie p -adiques. *Course notes*.
- [24] G. Zabradi. Generalized Robba rings and duality. *Preprint 2010*.